Justin T. Toth (8438) Arthur B. Berger (6490) Samuel C. Straight (7638) Maria E. Heckel (10761) RAY QUINNEY & NEBEKER P.C. 36 South State Street, Suite 1400 P. O. Box 45385 Salt Lake City, Utah 84145-0385 Telephone: (801) 532-1500 Facsimile: (801) 532-7543

Attorneys for Plaintiff Young Living Essential Oils, LC

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

## CENTRAL DIVISION

YOUNG LIVING ESSENTIAL OILS, LC, a Utah limited liability company Plaintiff, v. doTERRA, INC, a Utah corporation, doTERRA INTERNATIONAL, LLC, a Utah limited liability company, doTERRA HOLDINGS, LLC, a Utah limited liability company, and JOHN DOES 1-10, Defendants. COMPLAINT Case No. \_\_\_\_\_\_ Judge \_\_\_\_\_\_ (Jury Trial Demanded)

Plaintiff Young Living Essential Oils, LC ("Young Living" or "Plaintiff") hereby

complains against defendants doTERRA, Inc., doTERRA International, LLC, and doTERRA

Holdings, LLC ( collectively "doTERRA"), and Does 1-10 (collectively, with doTERRA,

"Defendants") and alleges as follows:

## INTRODUCTION

1. Young Living brings this action against doTERRA, a competitor in the sale and marketing of therapeutic quality essential oils formed by former executives of Young Living, for false advertising because doTERRA falsely claims that its essential oils are of natural plant origins and "100% pure." Testing of doTERRA essential oils by independent laboratories shows that, contrary to doTERRA's widespread claims that its essential oils are 100% pure with no fillers or additives and are "Certified Pure Therapeutic Grade," doTERRA's essential oils in fact are adulterated with man-made synthetic compounds and unlisted ingredients that unnaturally sweeten or otherwise alter the aroma and profile of the oils and/or make its oils less expensive to produce.

2. doTERRA's false advertising concerning the natural origins and purity of its essential oils, combined with its disparagement of the purity of Young Living's oils, has caused significant harm to Young Living. doTERRA has obtained a segment of the market for essential oils that it would not have obtained if it had truthfully disclosed the characteristics of its products—including that the sweetness of the aromas of its essential oils is not a marker of product purity (as it claims), but instead a result of the adulteration of its essential oils with synthetic chemicals. Accordingly, Young Living seeks monetary damages and/or doTERRA's ill-gotten profits, in addition to injunctive relief, statutory damages, and costs and attorneys fees.

## SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the federal law claims in this action pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1121 and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the related Utah state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

## VENUE

4. Venue is proper in this district and division pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

## PARTIES AND PERSONAL JURISDICTION

5. Young Living is a Utah limited liability company with its principal place of business in Lehi, Utah. Young Living was formerly known as Aromatic Research and Technology, LC, d/b/a Young Living Essential Oils.

6. doTERRA, Inc., is a Utah corporation with its principal place of business in Orem, Utah. doTERRA was formerly known as Thrive Holdings, Inc. and as Thrive II, Inc.

7. On information and belief, doTERRA International, LLC, is a Utah limited liability company with its principal place of business in Orem, Utah.

8. On information and belief, doTERRA Holdings, LLC, is a Utah limited liability company with its principal place of business in Orem, Utah.

9. Together, doTERRA, Inc., doTERRA International, LLC, and doTERRA Holdings, LLC, are collectively defined as "doTERRA" for the purpose of this Complaint.

10. doTERRA does business in interstate commerce including in Utah, it manufactures, licenses, and sells products, directly or indirectly, to residents of Utah, and/or has directed its activities at and injured Utah residents.

11. Upon information and belief, John Does 1-10 are individuals and/or entities that have participated in, controlled, had the right to control, benefitted from, contributed to, aided and abetted, facilitated, are licensees, or are otherwise liable for the actions alleged herein, but whose identities are not presently known to Young Living. As Young Living learns the identities of these John Doe defendants it will identify them by name.

## FACTUAL BACKGROUND

## Young Living's Business

12. Young Living was founded by Gary and Mary Young almost twenty years ago.

13. Young Living manufactures essential oils and related products, which it markets and sells through a network of independent distributors, most of whom are also consumers of Young Living essential oils.

14. Young Living currently has hundreds of thousands of independent distributors around the world.

15. Young Living also owns and operates farms nationally and internationally where it grows many of the plants it uses to make its essential oils. In Utah, Young Living owns and operates the 1,400 acre Young Living Farm in Mona, Utah.

16. Essential oils are aromatic volatile liquids derived from plants. They have many uses, including use in food flavoring, perfume industries, and in aromatherapy.

17. Young Living specializes in the manufacture, sale, and marketing of essential oils of natural plant origin suitable for aromatherapy and wellness practices.

18. Young Living sells a variety of individual essential oils, including lavender, peppermint, and frankincense oils. It also sells proprietary combinations of essential oils and products made with essential oils.

## doTERRA's Business

19. doTERRA is a company created by a group of former executives and high-level employees of Young Living to compete directly with Young Living by marketing and selling

#### Case 2:13-cv-00502-CW Document 2 Filed 06/19/13 Page 5 of 23

competing essential oils bearing its doTERRA label through a competing network of independent distributors.

20. Unlike Young Living, doTERRA does not own or operate the farms from which the essential oils bearing its label are produced.

21. The former Young Living executives and employees who formed doTERRA, and/or left Young Living to join doTERRA, include Young Living's former Chief Operating Officer, David Stirling, Young Living's former Director of Scientific Education and Support, David Hill, Young Living's former Senior Director of New Market Development, Greggory Cook, Young Living's former board member and executive assistant, Emily Wright, Young Living's former Regional Business Director (Western Region), Justin Harrison, and Young Living's former Director of Events, Lillian Shepherd.

22. doTERRA's business has expanded rapidly. It now claims to have established a network of more than 100,000 independent distributors throughout the United States and internationally.

23. In the spring of 2013, doTERRA obtained government tax incentives to build a new \$60 million dollar headquarters in Utah County.

24. A significant driver of doTERRA's expansion has been doTERRA's solicitation of Young Living distributors and consumers away from Young Living.

25. The instant Complaint is concerned with false advertisements by which doTERRA has wrongfully lured and continues to wrongfully lure Young Living distributors and customers to switch to doTERRA and by which doTERRA unfairly competes with Young Living for prospective distributors and customers.

## Case 2:13-cv-00502-CW Document 2 Filed 06/19/13 Page 6 of 23

## doTERRA Advertises Its Products As 100% Pure and Unadulterated with Synthetic Chemicals

26. doTERRA's key pitch in its sales of its essential oils to the Young Living distributors and customers it targets with solicitations, as well as to the general public, is a (false) assertion that doTERRA's essential oils are of superior purity to those sold by Young Living and other competitors.

27. doTERRA claims on its websites, including <u>www.doterra.com</u>,

<u>www.doterraeveryday.com</u>, <u>http://doterrablog.com</u>, and <u>www.doterratools.com</u>, among others, which it directs to potential distributors and customers both throughout the United States and internationally, that doTERRA creates "100% Pure" "Certified Pure Therapeutic Grade® essential oils" which "represent the safest, purest, and most beneficial essential oils available today."

28. doTERRA's websites claim that many other companies' essential oils labeled "therapeutic grade" "are devoid of therapeutic value due to impurities."

(www.doterraeveryday.com.)

29. doTERRA claims that, "[m]any [products claiming to be or to contain essential oils] do not use 100% pure essential oils and often use fragrant synthetic chemical substitutes to dilute or replace more expensive essential oil extracts." *Id.* (www.doterra.com.)

30. doTERRA's websites also advertise that "aroma is an excellent indication of true purity," and it (falsely) claims that the noticeably "sweet" or "extremely pleasing fragrances" of its essential oils are markers of purity and the highest quality, i.e., "having a fragrance that exceeds that of oils that have been diluted or synthetically produced." *Id*.

## Case 2:13-cv-00502-CW Document 2 Filed 06/19/13 Page 7 of 23

31. doTERRA also makes similar or identical claims in its marketing brochures, in its online videos, in its owners' and employees' presentations, and in communications directed to the distributors and customers of Young Living who are directly and indirectly solicited by doTERRA. doTERRA also teaches these false advertisements to its national and international networks of distributors, who repeat these claims to the persons they solicit for doTERRA.

32. doTERRA also specifically falsely advertises that Young Living is one of its competitors whose oils are likely adulterated.

33. On information and belief, doTERRA owner Emily Wright has made statements in commercial presentations and in communications to individuals solicited by doTERRA in which she has alleged that she saw evidence of a lack of purity in Young Living's oils and that this discovery led to her departure from Young Living.

34. doTERRA further encourages potential distributors and consumers to compare the sweeter and more pleasing fragrances of doTERRA essential oils with the fragrances of Young Living's oils and make a determination of the comparative purity of the oils for themselves based on doTERRA's (false) claims that the sweetness is a marker of oils that are not diluted or synthetically produced. (*See, e.g.*, www.doterra.com.)

35. Such advertisements alleging that doTERRA's essential oils are pure and Young Livings' essential oils are not pure are false. In fact, as alleged in further detail below, doTERRA adulterates its "Certified Pure Therapeutic Grade" essential oils with synthetic chemicals to sweeten or otherwise make the aroma of its essential oils more pleasing and/or to reduce costs.

#### Case 2:13-cv-00502-CW Document 2 Filed 06/19/13 Page 8 of 23

36. A few of the many specific false statements doTERRA has made in advertising the purity of its essential oils are as follows:

a. "doTERRA's therapeutic-grade essential oils are 100% pure natural aromatic compounds carefully extracted from plants. They do not contain fillers or artificial ingredients that would dilute their active qualities." (<u>www.doterra.com</u>.)

b. "doTERRA's Certified Pure Therapeutic Grade essential oils are guaranteed to be 100% pure and natural and free of synthetic compounds or contaminates." *Id*.

c. "The doTERRA brand and registered CPTG mark represent doTERRA's guarantee of 100% pure essential oil extracts that meet high standards for both purity and material composition and accurate product labeling." *Id.* 

d. "We harvest our plants and quickly and carefully distill the aromatic compounds of the plants. Other than testing for purity and composition, there is no other processing or manufacturing of the oils. They contain are [sic] 100% pure aromatic compounds. Nature did all the work; we just carefully remove the aromatic compounds and put them in the bottles. Pure and simple!" (www.doterraeveryday.com.)

e. "Each oil provides the living essence of its source botanical, gently distilled from plants that are nurtured and carefully harvested throughout the world. Each oil is 100% natural and passes strict standards of purity and potency." (doTERRA's 2012-2013 Product Guide (online at <u>www.doterratools.com</u>).)

37. In support of these and its other claims that its essential oils are 100% pure and superior to all other essential oils in the marketplace, doTERRA advertises at <u>www.doterra.com</u>

## Case 2:13-cv-00502-CW Document 2 Filed 06/19/13 Page 9 of 23

that "at a minimum, doTERRA's Certified Pure Therapeutic Grade® essential oils are cross tested using mass spectrometry and gas chromatography to ensure both extract purity and composition potency of each batch."

## doTERRA 's Advertisements Are False; Its Peppermint Oil Is Adulterated with Synthetics to Alter the Natural Aromas and Flavor

38. In or about March 2013, Young Living asked independent European laboratory Institut des Sciences Analytiques to analyze certain doTERRA oils using gas chromatographymass spectrometry ("GC-MS").

39. GC-MS separates the component chemicals in each sample of essential oil and allows the laboratory to identify the chemical makeup of each essential oil.

40. Service Central D'Analyse – Institut des Sciences Analytiques ("SCA") tested three samples of doTERRA's peppermint oil bearing different lot numbers and expiration dates using GC-MS.

41. Its lab test results found manmade synthetic chemical additive ethyl vanillin in doTERRA's peppermint oil. The body of the report of the peppermint studies conducted by SCA is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

42. Ethyl vanillin is a manmade compound that gives an intense vanilla aroma and sweet flavor.

43. The detection of ethyl vanillin explains the noticeably sweet odor and flavor of doTERRA's peppermint essential oil.

44. These test results demonstrate that all of doTERRA's statements concerning the natural origin and purity of its oils constitute false advertisements.

## Case 2:13-cv-00502-CW Document 2 Filed 06/19/13 Page 10 of 23

45. On information and belief, peppermint essential oil is one of doTERRA's top selling essential oils.

46. On information and belief, each of doTerra's blends containing peppermint oil also contain ethyl vanillin.

47. doTERRA actively and willfully misleads consumers and distributors of essential oils concerning the reason its peppermint oil is sweeter. Among other examples, Emily Wright has stated as follows: "doTERRA's oils are much sweeter. Our peppermint is a good example of that. The Young Living peppermint has a very bitter note to it that burns the throat when swallowed. doTERRA's peppermint is sweet and smooth when swallowed. The reason for the sweetness has to do with the purity and the high menthol content due to the region in which it is grown... Most people are not used to pure peppermint, and they think it should smell weedy and bitter in order to be 'pure.' This is not the case; rather the opposite is true. A pure oil should smell smooth and clean and should be pleasing to the senses."

## doTERRA's "100% pure" Lavender Essential Oil Is Adulterated with Synthetic Linalyl Acetate, Geranium, and Chamomile

48. In or about March 2013, SCA also analyzed three vials of doTERRA lavender essential oil bearing different lot numbers and expiration dates using GC-MS.

49. Based on its tests of three vials of doTERRA's Lavender essential oils, SCA concluded that these lavender essential oils contain synthetic additive linally acetate. The body of the report of the lavender studies conducted by SCA is attached hereto as <u>Exhibit B and</u> incorporated by reference.

50. Synthetic linally acetate is widely used to create a lavender aroma at a lower cost than natural essential oil.

### Case 2:13-cv-00502-CW Document 2 Filed 06/19/13 Page 11 of 23

51. Additionally, SCA found the same samples of doTERRA's lavender essential oil (which is marketed by doTERRA as 100% pure natural lavender) contain geranium and chamomile. See Exhibit B.

52. On information and belief, lavender essential oil is another of doTERRA's top selling essential oils.

53. doTERRA again misleads consumers concerning the reason for the unusual aroma of doTERRA's essential oils. With regard to the scent of doTERRA's lavender oils, doTERRA's website states as follows: "Try this at home. Compare the smell of doTERRA's *100% lavender essential oil* to another lavender oil or product in your home. If your product's fragrance is overbearing in any one note, it may contain synthetic chemical substitutes. A 100% pure therapeutic grade essential oil should have a balanced, broad fragrance profile and should smell crystal clean." (www.doterra.com (emphasis added).)

## doTERRA Falsely Advertises the Species of Its Frankincense Essential Oil

54. doTERRA advertises that it sells frankincense essential oil from the species *Boswellia frereana*.

55. Testing of doTERRA's Frankincense Oil completed on or about April 10, 2013, by SCA determined that doTERRA's frankincense oil is of the species *Boswelia carterii*, not *Boswelia frereana*, as advertised. The body of the report of the frankincense essential oil studies conducted by SCA is attached hereto as <u>Exhibit C and incorporated by reference</u>.

56. The species of frankincense oil is significant to consumers of frankincense essential oils because oils from the different species of frankincense have different properties and effects.

## Case 2:13-cv-00502-CW Document 2 Filed 06/19/13 Page 12 of 23

## doTERRA's Certified Pure Therapeutic Grade (CPTG) Designation Is False and/or Misleading

57. doTERRA's use of the term "Certified Pure Therapeutic Grade" is also false and/or misleading and constitutes false advertising because it is used by doTERRA to represent to essential oils consumers that its oils are pure and that the purity of doTERRA's essential oils has been certified by a regulator or an independent third party.

58. doTERRA's product guide states that doTERRA is committed to "producing our essential oil products to the highest standard of quality, purity, and safety used in the industry— CPTG Certified Pure Therapeutic Grade." (doTERRA 2012-2013 Product Guide.)

59. Upon information and belief, doTERRA and its distributors have at times misrepresented and/or knowingly perpetuated a false belief among doTERRA distributors and essential oil consumers that doTERRA essential oils are FDA certified or approved.

60. In fact, "Certified Pure Therapeutic Grade" is a phrase that doTERRA has federally registered as a trademark and has used for marketing purposes.

61. doTERRA's oils are not pure and are not certified pure by the FDA or any independent third party.

## doTERRA's False Advertisements Caused Significant Harm to Young Living

62. On information and belief, doTERRA has made other similar misrepresentations about the quality of its oils.

63. On information and belief, further testing of additional doTERRA oils will reveal additional evidence of doTERRA's false advertising.

64. The misrepresentations and false advertisements described herein have caused significant harm to Young Living.

#### Case 2:13-cv-00502-CW Document 2 Filed 06/19/13 Page 13 of 23

65. doTERRA does not compete on a fair playing field. It has solicited Young Living distributors and consumers to switch to doTERRA essential oils based on its false advertisements that doTERRA's products are pure and Young Living's are not.

66. Consumers and distributors of essential oils have relied on doTERRA's false advertisements concerning the purity of their products and the comparative impurity of Young Living's products when making purchasing decisions and decisions about which company to join as independent distributors.

67. These consumers and distributors have also relied on doTERRA's misrepresentations that consumers can test the purity of Young Living and doTERRA essential oils for themselves and should select the essential oils with the sweeter and more pleasing odor (doTERRA's essential oils) because a pleasing odor indicates they are "pure."

68. Through doTERRA's advertising contradicting the synthetic origin and adulterated nature of its essential oils, doTERRA has expanded its business and has obtained a significant segment of the market for essential oils.

69. doTERRA has therefore obtained substantial profits of an amount to be proven at trial at Young Living's expense. Its false advertisements have also done significant damage to Young Living's reputation and good will.

70. Young Living continues to be harmed by doTERRA's unfair competition through doTERRA's false advertisements.

## FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (False Advertising, 15 U.S.C. §1125(a))

71. Young Living realleges and incorporates by this reference the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

### Case 2:13-cv-00502-CW Document 2 Filed 06/19/13 Page 14 of 23

72. doTERRA sells and offers to sell essential oils advertised as "Certified Pure Therapeutic Grade," "100% pure," "natural aromatic products extracted from plants," "free of synthetic compounds or contaminates," and containing no "fillers or artificial ingredients," and other materially false statements.

73. doTERRA's representations described above, which concern the purity of doTERRA's essential oils, were made in commercial advertising and/or promotion, including on their website, in their product magazines, and distributed through presentations to their distributors and a wide audience of current and potential essential oils consumers.

74. doTERRA's representations described above, which concern the purity of doTERRA's essential oils, are literally false and/or misleading and misrepresent the nature, characteristics, and/or qualities of doTERRA products and/or commercial activities.

75. doTERRA's representations described above, which allege that Young Living's essential oils lack purity and are therefore inferior vis a vis Young Living's essential oils and products, are also literally false and/or misleading and misrepresent the nature characteristics, and/or qualities of Young Living products and/or commercial activities.

76. doTERRA's representations that its essential oils meet doTERRA's own alleged standards for therapeutic essential oils are also false and/or misleading.

77. doTERRA's false and/or misleading descriptions and representations of fact are made in interstate commerce.

78. doTERRA's representations concerning the natural origin and purity of their products and the comparative impurity of Young Living's products are material to the purchasing decisions of their current and potential customers and distributors in that such

### Case 2:13-cv-00502-CW Document 2 Filed 06/19/13 Page 15 of 23

individuals rely on these representations when making purchasing decisions and decisions about which company to join as an independent distributor.

79. Young Living distributors also rely on these misrepresentations when they accept doTERRA's solicitations to move their distributorships from Young Living to its competitor doTERRA and begin soliciting additional distributors and customers for doTERRA.

80. As a result of doTERRA's actions in commercial advertising and promotion, there is actual deception or at least a tendency to deceive a substantial portion of the intended audience.

81. As an example of this actual deception and tendency to deceive the intended audience, doTERRA's false advertisements and misleading claims about the superior purity of doTERRA's Certified Therapeutic Grade essential oils are featured in documents published to the essential oils market by former distributors for Young Living who have been successfully solicited to act as distributors (and consumers of) doTERRA products.

82. doTERRA's actions have, among other things, caused and are likely to continue to cause injury to Young Living and/or a loss in Young Living's goodwill, thus violating 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).

83. doTERRA's advertisements concerning its "pure" essential oils, combined with the evidence concerning its adulteration of its essential oils, gives rise to a strong inference that doTERRA's false and/or misleading advertising violations are willful and deliberate.

84. doTERRA's false and/or misleading advertising has caused Young Living actual damages in the form of lost distributors and sales in an amount to be proven at trial. Such damages should be trebled as allowed by 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a).

## Case 2:13-cv-00502-CW Document 2 Filed 06/19/13 Page 16 of 23

85. Young Living is further entitled to recover doTERRA's profits, the amount of which is currently unknown to Young Living, and which amount should be trebled as allowed by 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a).

86. This is an exceptional case pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a), and Young Living is therefore entitled to recover its attorney fees from doTERRA.

87. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a), Young Living is also entitled to recover its costs of suit.

88. Young Living is being irreparably harmed by doTERRA's false and/or misleading advertising, and Young Living has no adequate remedy at law. Young Living is therefore entitled to injunctive relief barring doTERRA from engaging in further acts that violate 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).

## SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Violation of Utah Unfair Practices Act, Utah Code Ann. § 13-5-2.5)

89. Young Living realleges and incorporates by this reference the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

90. doTERRA's literally false and/or misleading advertising concerning the purity of its essential oils and lack of purity of Young Living's essential oils, as alleged more fully above, constitutes an unfair method of competition proscribed by Utah Code Ann. § 13-5-2.5.

91. doTERRA's literally false and/or misleading advertising concerning the purity of its essential oils and/or lack of purity of Young Living's essential oils caused distributors and customers to choose doTERRA essential oils over Young Living essential oils.

92. doTERRA's actions have caused Young Living damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

93. Under Utah Code Ann. § 13-5-14, Young Living is entitled to recover the greater of treble the amount of its actual damages or statutory damages as provided therein, plus its costs of suit.

94. Young Living is being irreparably harmed by doTERRA's false and/or misleading advertising, and Young Living has no adequate remedy at law. Young Living is therefore entitled to injunctive relief barring doTERRA from engaging in unfair methods of competition against Young Living.

## THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Unfair Competition, Deceptive Advertising, and Unfair Trade Practices Under Utah Common Law)

95. Young Living realleges and incorporates by this reference the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

96. Through its actions described above, doTERRA is engaged in making false and deceptive statements about the purity of its products and false and deceptive statements concerning the purity of Young Living's products as a means to unfairly compete with Young Living.

97. doTERRA's actions as described above constitute unfair competition, deceptive advertising, and unfair trade practices proscribed by the common law of the State of Utah.

98. doTERRA's actions have caused Young Living damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

99. In light of the wanton and willful nature of doTERRA's actions, Young Living is entitled to an award of exemplary and punitive damages against doTERRA.

## Case 2:13-cv-00502-CW Document 2 Filed 06/19/13 Page 18 of 23

100. Young Living is also entitled to injunctive relief barring doTERRA from engaging in further acts of unfair competition, deceptive advertising, and unfair trade practices.

## FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Tortious Interference with Existing and Prospective Economic Relations)

101. Young Living realleges and incorporates by this reference the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

102. doTERRA has interfered, and continues to interfere, with Young Living's existing and potential economic relations by making false statements about the purity of Young Living's products to Young Living's current and prospective distributors and other consumers as well as by making false statements about the alleged purity of doTERRA's products to entice distributors and other consumers to switch from Young Living's oils to doTERRA's oils.

103. The actions of doTERRA have been taken for an improper purpose of inflicting injury on Young Living, and/or have been effected through the improper means of making false statements.

104. doTERRA's interference with Young Living's existing and potential economic relations has caused Young Living damages in the form of lost distributors and other consumers, and sales in an amount to be proven at trial.

105. In light of the willfulness of doTERRA's actions, Young Living is entitled to an award of exemplary and punitive damages against doTERRA.

106. Young Living is being irreparably harmed by doTERRA's actions, and Young Living has no adequate remedy at law. Young Living is, therefore, entitled to injunctive relief barring doTERRA from further interfering with Young Living's existing and prospective economic relations.

## Case 2:13-cv-00502-CW Document 2 Filed 06/19/13 Page 19 of 23

## FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Cancellation of Federal Trademark Registrations)

107. Young Living realleges and incorporates by this reference the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

108. On information and belief, doTERRA Holdings, LLC, owns the following trademarks and United States trademark registrations, which are hereinafter collectively referred to as the "CPTG Trademark Registrations":

Registration No.	Registration Date	Trademark
3,691,864	October 6, 2009	CPTG CERTIFIED PURE THERAPEUTIC GRADE
3,688,786	September 29, 2009	CPTG CERTIFIED PURE THERAPEUTIC GRADE
3,624,313	May 19, 2009	CPTG
3,617,242	May 5, 2009	CPTG

109. On information and belief, doTERRA Holdings, LLC, licensed use of the trademarks that are the subject of the CPTG Trademark Registrations to doTERRA, Inc., and/or doTERRA International, LLC.

110. On information and belief, doTERRA Holdings, LLC, has used the trademarks that are the subject of the CPTG Trademark Registrations in commerce directly and/or through these licensees.

111. The CPTG Trademark Registrations, on their face and as used by doTERRA in commerce, deceptively, falsely, and misleadingly state and/or suggest that doTERRA's products bearing such trademarks are pure; that doTERRA has developed a certification standard and that its products meet that standard; that a governmental or other third party have approved or

## Case 2:13-cv-00502-CW Document 2 Filed 06/19/13 Page 20 of 23

provided the designation or otherwise "certified" the product; and/or that a governmental or other third party have created a standard that doTERRA's products supposedly meet, all of which claims are false.

112. The CPTG Trademark Registrations are deceptive, falsely suggest a connection with governmental or other third party institutions, and are deceptively misdescriptive, and therefore not subject to federal registration pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1052(a), (e).

113. The trademarks or the dominant features of the trademarks that the subject of the CPTG Trademark Registrations, namely the term CERTIFIED PURE THERAPEUTIC GRADE and the acronym CPTG, which is readily understood to mean and refer to CERTIFIED PURE THERAPEUTIC GRADE, describe an ingredient, quality, characteristic, function, feature, purpose, or use of doTERRA's goods.

114. As a result, the CPTG Trademark Registrations are merely descriptive of doTERRA's goods and, because the CPTG Trademark Registrations have not developed secondary meaning, they are not subject to federal registration pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1052(e).

115. Young Living has been and will be damaged by the continued existence on the federal register of the CPTG Trademark Registrations because, among other things, they wrongly give doTERRA an unfair and improper advantage in marketing its products and soliciting and recruiting distributors and customers.

116. Accordingly, Young Living is entitled to a declaration that the CPTG Trademark Registrations are invalid and an order cancelling these Registrations.

## JURY DEMAND

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Young Living demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable.

## **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Young Living respectfully prays for the following relief:

1. That doTERRA, its officers, directors, members, agents, servants, employees, representatives, attorneys, related companies, successors, assigns, distributors, and all others in active concert or participation with doTERRA or any of them, be enjoined from:

a. Directly or indirectly using or placing in commerce any advertising that misrepresents the nature, quality, or characteristics of doTERRA's products; and

b. Unfairly competing with Young Living in any manner whatsoever.

2. That doTERRA be directed to file with the Court and serve on Young Living within thirty days after the service of any injunction order, a report in writing, under oath, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which it has complied with the injunction.

3. For an order that doTERRA promulgate advertising to correct and/or prevent any consumer confusion or false representations they have created or made in the marketplace, and/or compensate Young Living for the advertising and other expenditures necessary to dispel any such consumer confusion or false representations.

4. For an order that doTERRA cancel all pending orders for any products that were or are the subject of false and/or misleading advertising, including, without limitation, advertising that misrepresents the nature, quality, or characteristics of its products.

## Case 2:13-cv-00502-CW Document 2 Filed 06/19/13 Page 22 of 23

5. That Young Living be awarded judgment for three times its actual damages, in an amount to be determined at trial.

6. That doTERRA account to Young Living for doTERRA's profits arising from the acts complained of herein, and that Young Living be awarded treble doTERRA's profits, in accordance with the accounting demanded.

7. That Young Living be awarded applicable statutory damages, in the event it so elects, and/or actual damages are not proven.

8. That Young Living be awarded pre- and postjudgment interest.

9. That Young Living be awarded its costs of suit, including reasonable expenses and attorneys fees.

10. That Young Living be awarded exemplary and punitive damages.

11. That the Court cancel the CPTG Trademark Registrations.

12. That Young Living be awarded such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED this 19<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2013.

## RAY QUINNEY & NEBEKER P.C.

/s/ Arthur B. Berger Justin T. Toth Arthur B. Berger Samuel Straight Maria E. Heckel

Attorneys for Plaintiff Young Living Essential Oils, LC

## Case 2:13-cv-00502-CW Document 2 Filed 06/19/13 Page 23 of 23

Plaintiff's Address:

Young Living Essential Oils, LC 3125 Executive Pkwy Lehi, UT 84043

# EXHIBIT A



Villeurbanne le 10 avril 2013

Informations : Gestion de la prestation analytique De 8h -12 h et 13h – 16h30 Tel : 04.37.42.36.36 Télécopie : 04. 37.42.36.37 Mèl : bda@sca.cnrs.fr

YOUNG LIVING M. WOOLLEY 3125 EXECUTIVE PARKWAY UT 84600 LEHI - ETATS UNIS

## **REPORT OF ANALYSIS Nº 13/00196**

**OBJECT** :

Analysis of peppermint essential oils

## SCIENTIFIC MANAGER

CASABIANCA Hervé 04.37.42.36.04. h.casabianca@sca.cnrs.fr

Institut des Sciences Analytiques-Gestion de la prestation analytique-5 rue de la Doua-69100-VILLEURBANNE-04 37 42 36 36-www.sca.cnrs.fr Page 1 sur 5 RAPPORT D'ANALYSE N° 13/00196



#### 1. Your reference

Order form of :

#### 2. Samples references for analysis

Recording date of the request of analysis: 20/03/2013

Samples references	N°SCA:	Date reception
PEPPERMINT 1118702 (Exp 2016.07)	13/02556	20/03/2013
PEPPERMINT 1225402 (Exp 2017.09)	13/02557	20/03/2013
PEPPERMINT 130311A (Exp 2018.01)	13/02558	20/03/2013

#### 3. Analyses realized by

MAITRE Dany (GC-MS analysis) GUIBERT Sylvie (isotopic analysis) ANCHISY Anthony (isotopic analysis)

#### 4. Operating conditions

- GC-MS analysis :

Column HP1 50m x 320µm x 0.52µm Inject: 0.2µl split: 120:1 Gradient elution 80 °C to 310 °C MS scan 30 to 450 uma Identification by librairies CNRS, Wiley, Nist

Column INNOWAX 60m x 320µm x 0.50µm Inject: 0.2µl split: 120:1 Gradient elution 60 °C to 250 °C MS scan 30 to 350 uma Identification by librairies CNRS, Wiley, Nist

- Isotopic analysis δD13C by GC-C-IRMS :

Column INNOWAX 60m x 320µm x 0.50µm

#### 5. Résults

Cf following pages



CENTRE NATIONAL DE LA RECHERCHE SCIENTIFIQUE

#### **PEPPERMINT**

	1118702 (Ехр 2016.07)	1225402 (Ехр 2017.09)	1303117 (Ехр 2018.01)	
	SCA13/02556	SCA13/02557	SCA13/02558	NORM
2 METHYL PROPANAL	0.01	<0.01	0.01	
3 METHYL BUTANAL	0.03	0.02	0.03	
2 METHYL BUTANAL	0.02	0.01	0.02	
2 ETHYL FURAN	0.01	< 0.01	0.01	
3 METHYL BUTANOL	0.02	0.01	0.01	
2 METHYL BUTANOL	0.01	0.01	0.01	
HEXANAL	<0.01	< 0.01	<0.01	
TRANS 2 HEXENAL	0.01	<0.01	0.01	
ETHYL 2 METHYL BUTYRATE	0.01	< 0.01	0.01	
CIS 3 HEXENOL	0.01	0.01	0.01	
HEXANOL	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	
HEPTANOL 3	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	
2,5 DIETHYL TETRAHYDROFURAN	0.02	0.01	0.03	
3 METHYL CYCLOHEXANONE	0.02	0.02	0.01	
TRICYCLENE	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	
ALPHA THUJENE	0.06	0.03	0.05	
ALPHA PINENE	2.52	2.17	2.32	
CAMPHENE	0.04	0.02	0.03	
VERBENENE	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	
OCTEN 1 OL 3	0.07	0.06	0.07	
SABINENE	0.40	0.32	0.41	
BETA PINENE	2.68	2.41	2.75	
OCTANOL 3	0.18	0.15	0.16	
OCTANONE 3	0.01	0.01	0.02	
MYRCENE	0.27	0.20	0.29	
ALPHA PHELLANDRENE	0.09	0.03	0.05	
ISOPENTYL ISOBUTANOATE	<0.01	< 0.01	<0.01	
ALPHA TERPINENE	0.23	0.28	0.32	
PARA-CYMENE	0.45	0.26	0.16	
4(8) MENTHENE	0.01	0.01	0.01	
LIMONENE	5.24	4.68	4.93	1<- >2.5
1,8 CINEOLE	10.32	9.81	10.03	4< - >6
CIS BETA OCIMENE	0.21	0.14	0.27	
TRANS BETA OCIMENE	0.06	0.04	0.07	
GAMMA TERPINENE	0.68	0.44	0.63	
OCTANOL-1	0.01	0.01	0.01	
TRANS THUJANOL 4	0.47	0.41	0,45	0.5< - >2.3
CIS LINALOL OXYDE	0.03	0.02	0.02	
PARA-CYMENENE	0.01	0.01	0.01	
TERPINOLENE	0.14	0.12	0.19	
LINALOL	0.21	0.19	0.20	
	0.21	0.04	0.06	
CIS THUJANOL 4		0.04	0.08	
2 METHYL BUTYL 2 METHYL BUTANOATE	0.09	0.04	0.08	
2 METHYL BUTYL VALERATE		0.04	0.01	
BETA THUJONE	0.01	<0.01	0.01	
	0.01 0.05	0.05	0.01	
CIS PARA MENTH 2 EN 1 OL	0.05	0.05	0.00	

Institut des Sciences Analytiques-Gestion de la prestation analytique-5 rue de la Doua-69100-VILLEURBANNE-04 37 42 36 36-www.sca.cnrs.fr Page 3 sur 5 RAPPORT D'ANALYSE N° 13/00196



CENTRE NATIONAL DE LA RECHERCHE SCIENTIFIQUE

OENTIE WATON				
OCTANOL 3 ACETATE	0.02	0.01	0.02	
(4E,6Z) ALLO-OCIMENE	0.01	0.01	0.01	
TRANS PARA MENTH 2 EN 1 OL	0.06	0.05	0.04	
TRANS PINOCARVEOL	0.03	<0.01	<0.01	
ISOPULEGOL	0.15	0.14	0.13	
MENTHONE	16.07	17.07	17.40	
ISOMENTHONE	2.98	3.18	3.33	
	0.12	0.12	0.13	
MENTHOFURANE	2.68	3.12	2.76	
BORNEOL	0.02	0.02	0.02	
ISO-PINOCAMPHONE	0.02	0.02	0.02	
NEOMENTHOL	2.76	2.99	2.79	264 - 46
	36.64	37.74	34.59	36< - >46
	0.01	0.01	0.01	
	0.49 0.61	0.53 0.48	0.31 0.59	
	0.81	0.31	0.26	
	0.44	0.13	0.15	
NEOISOMENTHOL MYRTENOL	0.04	0.02	0.02	
CIS PIPERITOL	0.04	0.02	0.02	
TRANS PIPERITOL	0.03	0.02	0.01	
CITRONNELLOL	0.02	0.02	0.02	
NEROL	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	
PULEGONE	1.10	1.41	0.93	
CARVONE	<0.01	0.02	0.04	
CIS 3 HEXENYL ISOVALERATE	0.06	0.02	0.04	
MENTHYL ACETATE (other isomer)	0.02	0.02	0.01	
HEXYL ISOVALERATE	0.02	0.03	0.05	
PIPERITONE	0.45	0.34	0.41	
ISOPULEGYL ACETATE	0.03	0.03	0.03	
NEOMENTHYL ACETATE	0.31	0.26	0.26	
THYMOL	0.04	0.04	0.05	
BORNYL ACETATE	<0.01	0.01	<0.01	
MENTHYL ACETATE	4.75	4.59	4.99	
DIHYDROEDULAN I	0.06	0.05	0.07	
DIHYDROEDULAN II	0.06	0.05	0.06	
ISOMENTHYL ACETATE	0.29	0.21	0.25	
EUGENOL	0.01	0.01	0.02	
ALPHA TERPENYL ACETATE	0.03	0.01	0.01	
CIS JASMONE	0.01	0.01	0.01	
ALPHA YLANGENE	0.01	0.01	0.01	
ALPHA COPAENE	0.04	0.03	0.04	
BETA BOURBONENE	0.20	0.19	0.21	
BETA CUBEBENE	0.01	0.01	0.01	
BETA ELEMENE	0.10	0.11	0.09	
ETHYL VANILLINE	0.27	0.17	0.18	
BETA YLANGENE	0.03	0.04	0.04	
TRANS BETA CARYOPHYLLENE	1.59	1.84	1.96	
BETA COPAENE	0.03	0.04	0.06	
AROMADENDRENE	0.02	0.01	<0.01	
MINTFURANONE (1)	0.05	0.08	0.03	
MINTFURANONE (2)	0.01	0.02	<0.01	
(E) BETA FARNESENE	0.16	0.22	0.32	
ALPHA HUMULENE	0.09	0.12	0.14	
ALLO-AROMADENDRENE	<0.01	<0.01	0.08	
GAMMA MUUROLENE	0.03	0.03	0.06	

Institut des Sciences Analytiques-Gestion de la prestation analytique-5 rue de la Doua-69100-VILLEURBANNE-04 37 42 36 36-www.sca.cnrs.fr Page 4 sur 5 RAPPORT D'ANALYSE N° 13/00196



CENTRE NATIONAL DE LA RECHERCHE SCIENTIFIQUE

GERMACRENE D		1.15	1.12	1.59
BICYCLOGERMACRENE		0.20	0.19	0.28
GAMMA CADINENE		0.01	0.02	0.03
DELTA CADINENE		0.06	0.06	0.09
SPATHULENOL		0.01	0.01	0.02
CARYOPHYLLENE OXYDE		0.03	0.02	0.05
VIRIDIFLOROL		0.10	0.17	0.09
T-MUUROLOL		<0.01	<0.01	0.01
MINTSULFIDE		<0.01	<0.01	0.01
	TOTAL %	99.64	99.75	99.14

#### Isotopic analysis **D13C** by GC-C-IRMS

	1118702 (Exp 2016.07) SCA13/02556	1225402 (Exp 2017.09) SCA13/02557	1303117 (Exp 2018.01) SCA13/02558
	δD13C	δD13C	δD13C
alpha pinene	-29.3	-26.5	-27.7
beta pinene	-29.6	-29.2	-28.9
menthone	-26.9	-27.6	-27.4
isomenthone	-28.6	-29	-29.2
menthyl acetate	-30.4	-31.1	-31.5
menthol	-28.4	-28.5	-28.5

Measurement standard deviation :  $\pm 0.03 \ \delta\%$ 

#### 6. Comments and conclusion

For each sample, the composition is not in agreement with norm ISO/FDIS 856. Abnormal presence of ethyl vanilline (molecule of synthetic origin).

The obtained isotopic profile follows that of a peppermint of natural origin, in agreement with published datas :

GC/IRMS in the analysis of peppermint oil and its importance in the authenticity control. Journal of essential oil research (1995) vol 43 page 123-131

#### 7. Appendices

Chromatograms, listings GC-MS

-----END OF REPORT-----

Case 2:13-cv-00502-CW Document 2-2 Filed 06/19/13 Page 1 of 7

# EXHIBIT B

-

^



CENTRE NATIONAL DE LA RECHERCHE SCIENTIFIQUE

Villeurbanne le 10 avril 2013

Informations : Gestion de la prestation analytique De 8h -12 h et 13h - 16h30 Tel: 04.37.42.36.36 Télécopie : 04. 37.42.36.37 Mèl: bda@sca.cnrs.fr

YOUNG LIVING M. WOOLLEY **3125 EXECUTIVE PARKWAY** UT 84600 LEHI - ETATS UNIS

## **REPORT OF ANALYSIS Nº 13/00199**

**OBJECT**:

Analysis of lavender essential oils

SCIENTIFIC MANAGER	
CASABIANCA, Hervé 04.37.42.36.04. h.casabianca@sparcfifs.fr	

Institut des Sciences Analytiques-Gestion de la prestation analytique-5 rue de la Doua-69100-VILLEURBANNE-04 37 42 36 36-www.sca.cnrs.fr Page 1 sur 6 RAPPORT D'ANALYSE Nº 13/00199



#### 1. Your reference

Order form of :

#### 2. Samples references for analysis

Recording date of the request of analysis: 20/03/2013

Référence des échantillons	N° SCA :	Date de réception
LAVENDER 1133303 (Exp 2016.11)	13/02559	20/03/2013
LAVENDER 123352A (Exp 2017.11)	13/02560	20/03/2013
LAVENDER 130431A (Exp 2018.02)	13/02561	20/03/2013

#### 1. Analyses realized by

MAITRE Dany (GC-MS analysis) SCHIETS Frédéric (enantiomeric analysis)

#### 2. Operating conditions

- GC-MS analysis :

Column HP1 50m x 320µm x 0.52µm Inject: 0.2µl split: 120:1 Gradient elution 80°C to 310°C MS scan 30 to 450 uma Identification by librairies CNRS, Wiley, Nist

Column INNOWAX 60m x 320µm x 0.50µm Inject: 0.2µl split: 120:1 Gradient elution 60°C to 250°C MS scan 30 to 350 uma Identification by librairies CNRS, Wiley, Nist

- Enantiomeric analysis of linalool and linalyl acetate :

Column MEGA Det TBu Sil  $\beta$  CDX 25m x 250µm x 0.25µm Inject (diluted sample): 1µl split: 50:1 Gradient elution 65°C to 175°C

#### 3. Résults

Cf following pages



CENTRE NATIONAL DE LA RECHERCHE SCIENTIFIQUE

LAVENDER

	1133303 (Exp 2016.11) SCA13/02559	123352A (Exp 2017.11) SCA13/02560	130431A (Exp 2018.02) SCA13/02561
2 METHYL 3 BUTENE 2 OL	0.01	0.01	0.01
2 METHYL FURAN	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
3 METHYL BUTANAL	0.01	0.01	0.01
2 METHYL BUTANAL	<0.01	<0.01	0.01
3 METHYL BUTANOL	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
2 METHYL BUTANOL	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
TOLUENE	0.01	<0.01	0.01
BUTYL ACETATE	0.01	0.01	0.02
HEXYL METHYL ETHER	0.04	0.11	0.07
TRANS 2 HEXENAL	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
CIS 3 HEXENOL	0.02	0.01	0.01
HEXANOL	0.02	0.07	0.04
ISOBUTYL BUTYRATE	0.01	0.01	0.01
TRICYCLENE	0.02	0.03	0.01
ISOBUTYL METHACRYLATE	0.01	0.01	0.01
ALPHA THUJENE	0.04	0.05	0.08
BENZALDEHYDE	0.01	0.01	0.01
ALPHA PINENE	0.23	0.23	0.18
ALPHA FENCHENE	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
CAMPHENE	0.13	0.21	0.09
VERBENENE	<0.01	0.01	< 0.01
HEPTANOL-1	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
OCTEN 1 OL 3	0.20	0.16	0.10
OCTANONE 3	1.29	2,19	2.09
SABINENE	0.03	0.04	0.04
BETA PINENE	0.06	0.06	0.03
BUTYL BUTYRATE	0.07	0.09	0.09
OCTANOL 3	0.06	0.16	0.27
MYRCENE	0.50	0.78	0.57
CIS 3 HEXENYL ACETATE	0.01	0.01	<0.01
ISOBUTYL ISOVALERATE	0.01	0.01	0.01
HEXYL ACETATE	0.23	0.47	0.55
PSEUDOLIMONENE	0.01	0.01	0.03
ALPHA PHELLANDRENE	0.03	0.04	0.04
2 METHYL BUTYL ISOBUTYRATE	0.02	0.02	0.02
BENZYL ALCOOL	0.01	<0.01	<0.01
DELTA 3 CARENE	0.21	0.16	0.07
META-CYMENE	0.04	0.05	0.02
ALPHA TERPINENE	0.03	0.05	0.05
PARA-CYMENE	0.14	0.17	0.09
LIMONENE	0.48	0.48	0.34
1,8 CINEOLE + BETA PHELLANDRENE	1.00	1.28	0.97
	2.71	3.13	2.26
	0.23	0.22	0.14
ISOBUTYL ANGELATE	0.28	0.21	0.20
	1.43	2.61	2.76
METHYL ALLYL ANGELATE	0.05	0.05	0.05
GAMMA TERPINENE	0.06	0.08 0.01	0.12
OCTANOL	0.01	0.01	0.01

Institut des Sciences Analytiques-Gestion de la prestution analytique-5 rue de la Doua-69100-1/ILLEURBANNE-04 37 42 36 36-www.sca.cnrs.fr Page 3 sur 6 RAPPORT D'ANALYSE N° 13/00199 0.04



**TRANS 4 THUJANOL** 

TRANS ACETOXY LINALOL OXIDE

## INSTITUT DES SCIENCES ANALYTIQUES CENTRE NATIONAL DE LA RECHERCHE SCIENTIFIQUE

0.03

0.06

0.13

	0.04	0105	
CIS LINALOL OXIDE	0.12	0.12	0.09
TRANS LINALOL OXIDE	0.18	0.18	0.15
TERPINOLENE	0.12	0.15	0.09
ROSE FURAN	0.01	0.01	0.01
HEXYL PROPIONATE	0.01	0.02	0.02
LINALOL	25.79	27.19	28.96
CIS THUJANOL 4	0.03	0.03	0.04
2 METHYL BUTYL 2 METHYL BUTANOATE	0.31	0.23	0.23
OCTEN 1 YL 3 ACETATE	0.71	1.01	0.69
CIS ROSE OXIDE	0.01	0.02	0.02
ALPHA FENCHOL	0.01	0.02	0.01
OCTANOL 3 ACETATE	0.03	0.18	0.16
CIS PARA MENTH 2 EN 1 OL	0.02	0.06	0.04
TRANS ROSE OXIDE	0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
ALLO-OCIMENE (4E,6Z)	0.08	0.07	0.07
CAMPHRE	0.22	0.23	0.11
TRANS PARA MENTH 2 EN 1 OL	0.01	0.01	0.01
TANS PINOCARVEOL	0.06	0.06	0.05
	0.03	0.18	0.09
(E) ISOAMYL ANGELATE	0.07	0.09	0.18
HEXYL ISOBUTYRATE	0.10	0.10	0.10
(Z) ISOAMYL ANGELATE	0.01	0.01	<0.01
	0.03	0.03	0.03
PINOCARVONE		0.08	0.08
MENTHONE	0.09	0.74	0.90
LAVANDULOL	0.84 0.76	0.74	0.49
BORNEOL		0.02	0.02
LINALOL (E) PIRANIC OXIDE	0.02	0.27	0.24
CRYPTONE	0.20		0.03
PARA-CYMENE 8 OL	0.04	0.05	0.03
(E,Z) UNDECATRIENE 1,3,5	0.03	0.05	0.01
TERPENDIOL	0.02	0.02	2.46
TERPINENE 4 OL	1.52	1.29	
MYRTENAL	0.01	0.01	0.01
ALPHA TERPINEOL	1.08	1.15	1.00
HEXYL BUTYRATE	0.20	0.26	0.36
METHYL CHAVICOL	0.01	<0.01	0.01
TRANS PIPERITOL	0.01	<0.01	0.01
OCTYL ACETATE	0.02	0.02	0.01
TRANS CARVEOL	0.02	0.02	0.01
ALPHA FENCHYL ACETATE	0.01	<0.01	0.01
CITRONNELLOL	1.14	0.39	0.35
NEROL	0.23	0.19	0.15
CUMINALDEHYDE	0.06	0.10	0.10
NERAL	0.02	0.02	0.02
CARVONE	0.03	0.04	0.03
HEXYL 2 METHYL BUTYRATE	0.05	0.04	0.06
HEXYL ISOVALERATE	0.04	<0.01	<0.01
PIPERITONE	0.01	0.01	0.01
CIS ACETOXY LINALOL OXIDE	0.03	0.01	0.01
GERANIOL	1.13	0.61	0.50
LINALYL ACETATE	42.81	36.14	34.58
GERANIAL	0.05	0.03	0.03
PHELLANDRAL	0.03	0.04	0.05
	0.25	0.10	0.13

Institut des Sciences Analytiques-Gestion de la prestation analytique-5 rue de la Doua-69100-VILLEURBANNE-04 37 42 36 36-www.sca.cnrs.fr Page 4 sur 6 RAPPORT D'ANALYSE N° 13/00199

0.25

0.12



CENTRE NATIONAL DE LA RECHERCHE SCIENTIFIQUE

CITRONNELLYL FORMATE	0.1	1 0.10	0.10
CUMINOL	0.0		
BORNYLACETATE	0.2		
	4.3		
GERANYL FORMATE	0.0		
HEXYL TIGLATE	0.0		
LINALYL ACETATE (6,7) OXIDE (1)	0.0		
LINALYL ACETATE (6,7) OXIDE (2)	0.0		
ALPHA TERPENYL ACETATE	0.0		
NERYL ACETATE	0.4		0.26
ALPHA CUBEBENE	<0.0		
GERANYL ACETATE	0.7		0.46
HEXYL HEXANOATE	0.0		0.15
ALPHA COPAENE	0.03	2 0.03	0.02
DAUCENE	0.03	2 <0.01	
COUMARINE	0.0	7 0.05	0.04
BETA BOURBONENE	0.03	3 0.05	0.06
7 EPI-SESQUITHUJENE	0.03	L 0.02	0.06
BETA ELEMENE	0.03	L 0.01	0.01
SESQUITHUJENE	0.03		0.03
CIS ALPHA BERGAMOTENE	0.03		0.04
TRANS BETA CARYOPHYLLENE	2.00		3.85
ALPHA SANTALENE	0.2		0.34
BETA COPAENE	0.03		0.01
TRANS ALPHA BERGAMOTENE	0.09		0.12
	0.0		0.01
SESQUISABINENE A	0.03		0.02
EPI BETA SANTALENE		A	4.41
TRANS BETA FARNESENE	0.90		0.03
SESQUISABINENE B	0.03		0.17
ALPHA HUMULENE	0.10		
BETA SANTALENE	0.0		0.03
GAMMA MUUROLENE	0.03		
GERMACRENE D	0.32		0.74
(Z,E) ALPHA FARNESENE	0.04		0.06
BICYCLOGERMACRENE	0.04		0.03
geranyl ester unknow	0.04		0.01
(E,E) ALPHA FARNESENE	0.03		0.01
BETA BISABOLENE	0.05		0.06
GAMMA CADINENE	0.15		0.11
CALAMENENE	0.02	2 0.02	0.02
DELTA CADINENE	0.04	0.05	0.05
GERANYL BUTYRATE	0.03	0.01	0.01
TRANS NEROLIDOL	<0.0	1 0.01	0.01
2 PHENYL ETHYL TIGLATE	0.02	0.02	0.02
SPATHULENOL	0.01	0.01	0.01
EPOXY ISOCARYOPHYLLENE	0.01	0.01	0.01
CARYOPHYLLENE OXIDE	0.19		0.17
GAMMA EUDESMOL	0.03		0.06
T-CADINOL	0.07		0.08
ALPHA BISABOLOL	0.02		<0.01
GERANYL TIGLATE	0.03		0.02
GERMITE HOLATE	0.0.		
то	<b>TAL %</b> 99.6	2 99.38	99.47



#### Enantiomeric analysis :

	LINALOOL		LINALYL	ACETATE
	(%) R	(%) S	(%) R	(%) S
1133303 (Exp 2016.11) SCA13/02559	95.18	4.82	90.76	9.24
123352A (Exp 2017.11) SCA13/02559	94.65	5.35	92.04	7.96
130431A (Exp 2018.02) SCA13/02559	96.80	3.20	95.04	4.96

#### 4. Comments and conclusion

For each sample, the composition is in agreement with norm NFISO 3515 for lavender "other origins". But some molecules (in red) indicate presence of geranium pelargonium and chamomilla nobilis.

Enantiomeric ratios obtained for linalyl acetate do not correspond to our datas for a lavender of natural origin. Synthetic linalyl acetate was added to these oils.

#### 5. Appendices

Chromatograms, listings GC-MS

-----END OF REPORT-----

Case 2:13-cv-00502-CW Document 2-3 Filed 06/19/13 Page 1 of 7

# EXHIBIT C



Villeurbanne le 10 avril 2013

Informations : Gestion de la prestation analytique De 8h -12 h et 13h - 16h30 Tel: 04.37.42.36.36 Télécopie : 04. 37.42.36.37 Mèl : bda@sca.cnrs.fr

YOUNG LIVING M. WOOLLEY **3125 EXECUTIVE PARKWAY** UT 84600 LEHI - ETATS UNIS

**REPORT OF ANALYSIS Nº 13/00200** 

**OBJECT:** 

Analysis of frankincense essential oils

	SCIENTIFIC MANAGER
Γ	CASABIANCA/Hervé
	04.37.42.36.04.
	04.37.42.36.04. h.casabianca@sca.cnrs.fr
	_

Institut des Sciences Analytiques-Gestion de la prestation analytique-5 rue de la Doua-69100-VILLEURBANNE-04 37 42 36 36-www.sca.cnrs.fr Page 1 sur 6 RAPPORT D'ANALYSE Nº 13/00200



#### 1. Your reference

Order form of :

#### 2. Samples references for analysis

Recording date of the request of analysis: 20/03/2013

Référence des échantillons	N° SCA :	Date de réception
FRANKINCENCE 1220504 (Exp 2017.07)	13/02562	20/03/2013
FRANKINCENCE 1225802 (Exp 2017.09)	13/02563	20/03/2013
FRANKINCENCE 123521A (Exp 2017.12)	13/02564	20/03/2013

#### 3. Analyses realized by

MAITRE Dany (GC-MS analysis) SCHIETS Frédéric (enantiomeric analysis)

#### 4. Operating conditions

- GC-MS analysis :

Column HP1 50m x 320µm x 0.52µm Inject: 0.2µl split: 120:1 Gradient elution 80°C to 310°C MS scan 30 to 450 uma Identification by librairies CNRS, Wiley, Nist

Column INNOWAX 60m x 320µm x 0.50µm Inject: 0.2µl split: 120:1 Gradient elution 60°C to 250°C MS scan 30 to 350 uma Identification by librairies CNRS, Wiley, Nist

- Enantiomeric analysis of α-pinene:

Column  $\beta$  DEX 30m x 250µm x 0.25µm Inject (diluted sample): 1µl split: 50:1 Gradient elution 50°C to 185°C

#### 5. Résults

Cf following pages



#### FRANKINCENSE

	122054 (Exp 2017.07) SCA13/02562	1225802 (Exp 2017.09) SCA13/02563	123521A (Exp 2017.12) SCA13/02564
TOLUENE	0.01	0.01	0.02
5,5-DIMETHYL-1-VINYLBICYCLO-[2.1.1] HEXANE	0.19	0.08	0.15
TRICYCLENE	0.12	0.12	0.12
ALPHA THUYENE	4.96	5.21	5.20
ALPHA PINENE	29,28	28.17	27.98
2,4(10) THUJADIENE	0.02	0.05	0.12
ALPHA FENCHENE	0.01	0.02	0.02
CAMPHENE	0.29	0.37	0.38
VERBENENE	0.10	0.10	0.12
1,3,5 CYCLOHEPTATRIENE	0.02	0.04	0.03
SABINENE	2.41	2.54	2.63
BETÄPINENE	4.09	4.01	3.80
Not identified terpene	0.05	0.02	0.03
2,3 DEHYDRO 1,8 CINEOLE	0.02	0.02	0.02
MYRCENE	1.80	1.77	1.90
2,7 DIMETHYL 1,6 OCTADIENE	0.02	0.01	0,01
ORTHO METHYL ANISOLE	0.02	0.02	0.02
1,3,8 PARA MENTHATRIENE	0.11	0.11	0.11
ALPHA PHELLANDRENE	0.28	0.61	0.70
ORTHO-CYMENENE	0.01	< 0.01	0.01
DELTA 3 CARENE	0.23	0.28	0.26
ALPHA TERPINENE	0.25	0.20	0.24
PARA-CYMENE	2.55	2.34	2.33
OCTYL METHYL ETHER	0.12	0.16	0.04
LIMONENE	9.85	9.55	9.02
1,8 CINEOLE + BETA PHELLANDRENE	0.28	0.28	0.24
CIS BETA OCIMENE	0.22	0.09	0.11
TRANS BETA OCIMENE	0.06	0.04	0.04
GAMMA TERPINENE	0.39	0.35	0.35
OCTANOL-1	0.17	0.11	0.10
TRANS THUJANOL 4	0.01	0.01	0.02
PARA-CYMENENE	0.05	0.05	0.05
TERPINOLENE	0.06	0.06	0.06
LINALOL	0.07	0.04	0.05
PERILLEN	0.02	0.03	0.04
ALPHA THUJONE	0.01	0.03	0.09
ALPHA PINENE OXIDE	0.03	0.04	0.02
ALPHA CAMPHOLENE ALDEHYDE	0.06	0.06	0.08
(E) 4,8 DIMETHYL NONATRIENE	0.01	0.01	0.01
ALLO-OCIMENE	0.02	0.02	0.01
NONYL METHYL ETHER	0.01	0.02	<0.01
CAMPHRE	<0.01	0.01	0.01
TRANS PINOCARVEOL	0.15	0.17	0.24
TRANS VERBENOL	0.13	0.17	0.17
ALPHA PHELLANDREN 8 OL	0.08	0.06	0.11
PINOCAMPHONE	0.02	0.02	0.03
BETA PHELLANDREN 8 OL	0.16	0.13	0.25
BORNEOL	0.03	0.05	0.09

Institut des Sciences Analytiques-Gestion de la prestation analytique-5 rue de la Doua-69100-VILLEURBANNE-04 37 42 36 36-www.sca.cnrs.fr Page 3 sur 6 RAPPORT D'ANALYSE N° 13/00200



CENTRE NATIONAL DE LA RECHERCHE SCIENTIFIQUE

PARA-CYMEN 8 OL	0.03	0.04	0.07
TERPINEN-4-OL	0.24	0.22	0.38
MYRTENAL	0.06	0.04	0.08
ALPHA TERPINEOL	0.11	0.15	0.15
MYRTENOL	0.05	0.08	0.13
VERBENONE	0.15	0.09	0.12
ALPHA PHELLANDRENE EPOXIDE	0.03	0.08	0.06
OCTYL ACETATE	7.57	7.15	7.45
TRANS CARVEOL	0.06	0.08	0.09
DODECANE	0.02	0.01	0.01
CIS CARVEOL	0.02	0.03	0.01
CUMINALDEHYDE	0.01	0.01	0.02
CARVONE	0.02	0.05	0.05
DECYL METHYL ETHER	0.38	0.29	0.17
CARVOTANACETONE	<0.01	0.04	0.03
LINALYL ACETATE	0.02	0.02	0.04
ORCINIC DIMETHYL ETHER	0.03	0.03	0.05
PHELLANDRAL	0.01	0.02	0.02
DECANOL-1	0.01	0.01	0.01
CUMINOL	0.01	0.01	0.01
THYMOL	0.01	0.01	0.01
BORNYLACETATE	0.17	0.15	0.15
CARVACROL	0.04	0.06	0.07
MYRTENYL ACETATE	0.02	0.01	0.02
ETHYL DIHYDROCINNAMATE	0.03	0.02	0.02
EUGENOL	<0.01	< 0.01	0.01
ALPHA TERPENYL ACETATE	<0.01	0.02	0.02
DELTA ELEMENE	0.11	0.04	0.07
ALPHA CUBEBENE	0.08	0.16	0.18
GERANYL ACETATE	0.06	0.02	0.04
CYCLOSATIVENE	0.01	<0.01	0.02
ALPHA YLANGENE	0.02	0.03	0.03
ALPHA COPAENE	0.85	0.77	0.77
BETA BOURBONENE	0.20	0.08	0.27
BETA CUBEBENE	0.08	0.09	0.10
BETA ELEMENE	0.18	0.40	0.31
DECYLACETATE	0.02	0.02	0.02
CYPERENE	0.04	0.04	0.04
ISOCARYOPHYLLENE	0.01	0.01	0.02
ALPHA GURJUNENE	0.07	0.06	0.08
TRANS BETA CARYOPHYLLENE	6.95	6.95	5.84
BETA COPAENE	0.06	0.04	0.08
TRANS ALPHA BERGAMOTENE	0.19	0.13	0.38
GUAIADIENE 6,9	0.17	0.05	0.14
SELINA 4(15),6 DIENE	0.11	0.12	0.13
ALPHA HUMULENE	1.24	1.11	0.88
ALLO-AROMADENDRENE	0.15	0.16	0.16
7αH,10βH CADINA 1(6),4 DIENE	0.02	0.02	0.05
GAMMA MUUROLENE	0.27	0.51	0.45
GERMACRENE D	0.42	0.22	0.42
TRANS BETA BERGAMOTENE	0.04	0.04	0.03
BETA SELINENE	0.08	0.24	0.37
DELTA SELINENE	0.02	0.02	0.02
TRANS MUUROLA 4(14),5 DIENE	0.09	0.16	0.02
EPI-CUBEBOL	0.06	0.12	0.09
ALPHA SELINENE	0.10	0.24	0.20
سا ۳ ا سا ۳ د ا سا ۳	0.40		5120

Institut des Sciences Analytiques-Gestion de la prestation analytique-5 rue de la Doua-69100-VILLEURBANNE-04 37 42 36 36-www.sca.cnrs.fr Page 4 sur 6 RAPPORT D'ANALYSE N° 13/00200



CENTRE NATIONAL DE LA RECHERCHE SCIENTIFIQUE

LEDENE		0.36	0.11	0.14
BICYCLOGERMACRENE		0.04	0.04	0.04
ALPHA MUUROLENE		0.14	0.21	0.14
BETA BISABOLENE		0.08	0.10	0.22
GAMMA CADINENE		0.19	0.34	0.39
CUBEBOL		0.02	0.02	0.04
CALAMENENE		0.05	0.08	0.08
7 EPI ALPHA SELINENE		0.01	0.02	0.02
DELTA CADINENE		0,69	1.07	0.93
ZONARENE		0.08	0.07	0.04
TRANS CADINA 1,4 DIENE		0.05	0.07	0.06
TRANS ALPHA BISABOLENE		0.02	0.02	0.04
ALPHA CALACORENE		0.03	0.05	0.04
ALPHA CADINENE		0.03	0.04	0.05
ALPHA ELEMOL		0.07	0.08	0.07
LIGULOXIDE		0.08	0.09	0.07
ALPHA CARYOPPHYLLENE ALCOOL		0.03	0.06	0.05
PALUSTROL		0.04	0.03	0.04
SPATHULENOL		0.03	0.08	0.06
EPOXY ISOCARYOPHYLLENE		0.03	0.04	0.03
CARYOPHYLLENE OXYDE		0.21	0.42	0.37
VIRIDIFLOROL		1.55	0.67	0.91
CUBEBAN 11 OL		0.14	0.09	0.05
LEDOL		0.05	0.04	0.05
HUMULENE EPOXYDE		0.07	0.09	0.09
GAMMA EUDESMOL		0.10	0.13	0.10
1,10 EPI-CUBENOL		0.07	0.13	0.09
T-CADINOL		0.25	0.49	0.52
ALPHA CADINOL		0.05	0.05	0.06
		0.05	0.08	0.07
CUBENOL		0.04	0.07	0.05
BETA EUDESMOL		0.07	0.08	0.08
T-MUUROLOL		0.12	0.15	0.13
ALPHA EUDESMOL CARYOPHYLLEN 14 HYDROXY 9 EPI		0.05	0.08	0.07
		0.02 0.08	0.05 0.09	0.05 0.09
ALPHA PHELLANDRENE DIMER CADALENE		0.08	0.09	0.09
M-CAMPHORENE		0.01	0.02	0.01
NEOCEMBRENE		0.00	0.21	0.03
CEMBRENE		<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
P-CAMPHORENE		<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
CEMBRENE C		0.06	0.07	0.06
VERTICILLA 4(20),7,11 TRIENE		0.10	0.10	0.11
CEMBRENOL		0.33	0.35	0.34
INCENSOLE		0.64	0.74	0.70
not identified compound MM290		3.04	2.78	2.67
24-NORURSA-3,9(11),12-TRIENE		0.81	0.85	0.86
24-NOROLEANA-3,12-DIENE		0.91	0.96	2.03
24-NORURSA-3,12-DIENE		1.76	1.89	1.00
24-NORURSA-3,12-DIEN-11-ONE		2.91	2.82	3.06
BETA AMYRINE ACETATE		0.49	0.49	0.54
ALPHA AMYRINE		1.83	1.82	1.76
BETA AMYRINE		0.24	0.27	0.26
	TOTAL %	98.00	96.94	96.94

Institut des Sciences Analytiques-Gestion de la prestation analytique-5 rue de la Doua-69100-1/11.1EURBANNE-04 37 42 36 36-www.sca.cnrs.fr Page 5 sur 6 RAPPORT D'ANALYSE N° 13/00200



#### Enantiomeric analysis :

	α-pinene					
	(%) isomer (-) (%) isomer					
122054 (Exp 2017.07) SCA13/02562	78.78	21.22				
1225802 (Exp 2017.09) SCA13/02563	79.70	20.30				
123521A (Exp 2017.12) SCA13/02564	76.95	23.05				

#### 6. Comments and conclusion

The profile is in accordance with the frankincense type boswelia carterii according to the works of thesis: Comparative studies on the essential oils, pyrolysates and boswellic acids of boswelia carterii, boswelia serrata, boswelia frereana, boswelia neglecta, boswelia rivae. Thesis by Simla Basar-Istanbul (Turkey) Hamburg 2005.

No presence of abnormal molecule.

Enantiomeric ratios obtained correspond to our datas for a frankincense of natural origin.

#### 7. Appendices

Chromatograms, listings GC-MS

-----END OF REPORT------

JS 44 (Rev. 11/04)	Case 2:13-cv-00	502-CW Dod	cum L CO	ent 2-4 Filed 06	5/19/1:	3 Page 1 o	f 2
I. (a) PLAINTIFF(s)		0.71		FENDANT(s)			
YOUNG LIVING ESSENTIAL OILS				doTERRA, INC., doTERRA INTERNATIONAL, LLC, doTERRA HOLDINGS, LLC, and JOHN DOES 1-10 ,			
(b) County Of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff: UTAH County (EXCEPT ON U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County Of Residence Of First Listed Defendant: UTAH COUNTY			
(c) Attorney's (Firm Na	me, Address, and Teleph	none Number)		,		FF CASES ONLY	,
Justin T. Toth (8438) Arthur B. Berger (6490) Samuel C. Straight (7631) Maria E. Heckel (10761) RAY QUINNEY & NEBEKER P.C. 36 S. State Street, Suite 1400 Salt Lake City, UT 84111 Tel: (801) 532-1500 Facsimile: (801) 532-7543			NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)				
II. BASIS OF JURISDICT		OX ONLY)		(For Diversity Cases Only)	CIPAL PA	- (	" in One Box and One Box for Defendant)
<ul> <li>1 U.S. Government Plaintiff</li> <li>2. U.S. Government</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 Federal Question (U.S. Government No</li> <li>4 Diversity</li> </ul>	t a Party)	Citize	PTF en of This State		corporated <i>or</i> Principal of Business in this Sta	
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of	f Parties in item III)	Citize	en of Another State 2		corporated <i>and</i> Princip Business in Another S	
				en or Subject of a □ 3 reign Country	□ 3 F	oreign Nation	□6 □6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT CONTRACT	(PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BO	X ONLY) RTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	ВА	NKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
<ul> <li>110 Insurance</li> <li>120 Marine</li> <li>130 Miller Act</li> <li>140 Negotiable Instrument</li> <li>150 Recovery of Overpayment &amp; Enforcement of Judgment</li> <li>151 Medicare Act</li> <li>152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)</li> <li>153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits</li> <li>160 Stockholders' Suits</li> <li>190 Other Contract</li> <li>195 Contract Product Liability</li> <li>196 Franchise</li> <li>REAL PROPERTY</li> <li>210 Land Condemnation</li> <li>220 Foreclosure</li> <li>230 Rent Lease &amp; Ejectment</li> <li>245 Tort Product Liability</li> <li>290 All Other Real Property</li> </ul>	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Droduct Liability 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Welfare 445 Amer. w/Disabilities – Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities – Other 440 Other Civil Rights	PERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injury -Malpractice 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability 271 Truth in Lending 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIO 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence HABEAS CORPUS: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	Med. al bbility RTY e DNS e :	<ul> <li>610 Agriculture</li> <li>620 Other Food &amp; Drug</li> <li>625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881</li> <li>630 Liquor Laws</li> <li>640 R.R. &amp; Truck</li> <li>650 Airline Regs.</li> <li>660 Occupational Safety/Health</li> <li>690 Other</li> </ul> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <ul> <li>720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations</li> <li>730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting &amp; Disclosure Act</li> <li>740 Railway Labor Act</li> <li>790 Other Labor Litigation</li> <li>791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>422 Ap;</li> <li>423 Wit</li> <li>PROF</li> <li>820 Co;</li> <li>830 Pat</li> <li>840 Tra</li> <li>862 Bla</li> <li>863 DIV</li> <li>864 SSI</li> <li>865 RS</li> <li>FEDEI</li> <li>870 Tax</li> <li>Plain</li> <li>Defe</li> <li>871 IRS</li> </ul>	eeal 28 USC 158 hdrawal 28 USC 157 PERTY RIGHTS oyrights ent ademark HAL SECURITY (1395ff) ck Lung (923) VC/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI I (405(g)) RAL TAX SUITS	<ul> <li>400 State Reapportionment</li> <li>410 Antitrust</li> <li>430 Banks and Banking</li> <li>450 Commerce</li> <li>460 Deportation</li> <li>470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations</li> <li>480 Consumer Credit</li> <li>490 Cable/Sat TV</li> <li>810 Selective Service</li> <li>850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange</li> <li>875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410</li> <li>S90 Other Statutory Actions</li> <li>891 Agricultural Acts</li> <li>892 Economic Stabilization Act</li> <li>893 Environmental Matters</li> <li>894 Energy Allocation Act</li> <li>900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice</li> <li>950 Constitutionality of State Statutes</li> </ul>
V. ORIGIN       (Place An "X" In One Box Only)         Y. ORIGIN       Appeal to District         Judge from       3 Remanded from       4 Reinstated or Reopened       5 another district (specify)       6 Multidistrict Litigation       7 Magistrate Judgment         VI. CAUSE OF ACTION       Cite The U.S. Civil Statute Under Which You Are Filing. (Do Not Cite Jurisdictional Statutes Unless Diversity.)       Cite The U.S. Civil Statute Under Which You Are Filing. (Do Not Cite Jurisdictional Statutes Unless Diversity.)							
Brief Description Of Cause: False Advertising, 15 U.S.C. §1125(a) and related claims							
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION Unspecified CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: X YES NO							
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): IF ANY							
DATE: June 19, 2013 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD: /s/ Arthur B. Berger Arthur B. Berger							

JS 44 (DUT) 2003

#### **INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS-44**

#### Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974. is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

I. (a) Plaintiffs - Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations, if the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title. If there are several parties, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

(b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. Also enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)

(c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section II! below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS-44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.

IV. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

V. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause.

VI. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VII. Related Cases. This section of the JS44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

VIII. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section V above, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.